

---

# ICM Satellite Conference on PDE and Related Topics

---

## Two Phase Free Boundary Problems

Jyotshana V. Prajapat

Department of Mathematics, College of Arts and Science

The Petroleum Institute, Abu Dhabi, P.O. 2533, U.A.E.

[jprajapat@pi.ac.ae](mailto:jprajapat@pi.ac.ae)

- Joint work with B. Emamizadeh (The Petroleum Institute)

and H. Shahgholian (KTH, Sweden)

- To appear in **Potential Analysis**

## Free Boundary Problems

A free boundary problem arises in many areas of physics- free streamlines, jets, Hele-Shaw flows, electromagnetic shaping, gravitational problems etc.... A typical example is the *classical obstacle problem*, where given a function  $\psi \in C^2(D)$  with  $\psi \leq g$  in the sense that  $(\psi - g)^+ \in W_0^{1,2}(D)$  one looks for the minimizer of the functional

$$J(u) = \int_D (|\nabla u|^2 + 2fu) \, dx \quad (1)$$

on the set

$$K_{g,\psi} = \{u \in W^{1,2}(D) : u - g \in W_0^{1,2}(D), u \geq \psi \text{ in } D\}.$$

$J$  is continuous and strictly convex on the (convex) subset  $K_{g,\psi}$  of the Hilbert space  $W^{1,2}$  and hence has a unique minimizer in  $K_{g,\psi}$ .

Physically (or geometrically), one thinks of the graph of  $u$  as a membrane attached to a fixed wire which is forced to stay above the graph of  $\psi$ . The set  $\Lambda := \{u = \psi\}$  is called the *coincidence set* and the boundary  $\Gamma := \partial\Lambda \cap D$  is called the *free boundary*. It can be easily seen that  $u$  satisfies

$$-\Delta u + f = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega := \{u > \psi\}, \quad \Delta u = \Delta \psi \quad \text{a.e on} \quad \Lambda$$

$$-\Delta u + f \geq 0 \quad \text{in} \quad D$$

in the sense of distributions, where  $f > 0$  is Lipschitz.

Replacing  $u$  by  $u - \psi$ , we reduce to the obstacle problem with zero obstacle, which corresponds to minimizing the functional

$$J(u) = \int_D (|\nabla u|^2 + 2f \cdot u) \, dx$$

over the convex subset  $K_{g,0} = \{u \in W^{1,2}(D) : u - g \in W_0^{1,2}(D), u \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } D\}$ , and in this case the associated PDE is

$$\Delta u = f \chi_{\{u > 0\}} \quad \text{in} \quad D.$$

## Quadrature domains

“Quadrature” means (in latin) “division of land into squares”.

Mathematically, it refers to “constructive or numerical methods to find areas or more generally integrals”. A “quadrature identity” is typically an exact formula for the integral of a harmonic function in terms of a simpler functional like point evaluations. The domain of integration is then called a **quadrature domain**. Classically, a quadrature identity can be written as

$$\int_{\Omega} f dA = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k f(a_k) \quad (2)$$

where  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \Omega$ , not necessarily distinct. For  $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{1}$ , it is known that the discs are the only quadrature domains as in this case the quadrature identity reduces to the mean value property for harmonic functions

$$f(a) = \frac{1}{|B(a, r)|} \int_{B(a, r)} f dA.$$

One may thus think of quadrature domain as something obtained by gluing "discs" in potential theoretic way or as some kind of sweeping (balayage) process applied to the measure

$$\mu = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \delta_{a_k}$$

In general, one may replace the Dirac measure by a signed measure  $\mu$  satisfying suitable conditions. If we denote the Newtonian potential of any signed measure  $\mu$  as

$$U^\mu(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x-y) d\mu(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

where

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\omega_N}{N} |x|^{2-N} & \text{for } N \geq 3 \\ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln |x| & \text{for } N = 2 \end{cases}$$

denotes the Fundamental solution to the Laplace operator, and hence

$$-\Delta U^\mu = \mu.$$

Thus, the problem is to find a domain  $\Omega$  with the property that the support of  $\mu$  is a subset of  $\Omega$  and such that

$$\int_{\Omega} h dx = \int h d\mu \quad (3)$$

for all harmonic integrable functions  $h$  over the set  $\Omega$ ; which is equivalent to the following identity

$$U^{\chi_{\Omega}} = U^{\mu}, \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla U^{\chi_{\Omega}} = \nabla U^{\mu} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \quad (4)$$

Equivalently, to find  $(u, \Omega)$  such that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= \mu - \chi_{\Omega} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N \\ u &= 0, \quad \nabla u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (5)$$

where  $u = U^{\mu} - U^{\chi_{\Omega}}$ . This is a one-phase problem!

If we require in (3) or/and (4)

$$\int_{\Omega} h dx \geq \int h d\mu, \quad U^{\chi_{\Omega}} \leq U^{\mu} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (6)$$

where the first inequality holds for all integrable subharmonic functions  $h$ , then we have  $u \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$  in (5).

Natural generalization-

(a) Two-phase obstacle problem : Given any two constants,  $\lambda_+$  and  $\lambda_-$ , to find a solution of

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Delta u &= \lambda_+ \chi_{\{u>0\}} - \lambda_- \chi_{\{u<0\}} & \text{in } D \\ u &= g & \text{on } D \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (7)$$

in a bounded domain  $D$  and  $g \in W^{1,2}(D)$ .

(b) “Two phase” Quadrature domain.....

**Known:** There exists a locally bounded solution to the two-phase obstacle problem.

- Lot of interest and work on proving the regularity of free boundary-  
Cafarelli-Alt, Gustafson-Shagholian, Sakai....
- The existence is generally assumed and usually proved using the variational methods by minimizing a suitable functional.

For  $\Omega$  an open connected set (maybe unbounded) in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with reasonably smooth boundary, consider the functional

$$J_{\Omega}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - f(x)u^+ + h(x)u^- \right\} dx \quad (8)$$

where  $f, h \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  are such that  $\text{supp}(f^+) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{supp}(h^+) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ .

The functional  $J_{\Omega}$  can be “split” into two one-phase functionals

$$J_+(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - f(x)u^+ \right\} dx \quad (9)$$

and

$$J_-(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + h(x)u^- \right\} dx \quad (10)$$

in the set  $W^+ := \{u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) : u - g^+ \in W^{1,2}(\Omega), u \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}$

and  $W^- := \{u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) : u = -g^- \in W^{1,2}(\Omega), u \leq 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}$ .

Let  $U_+$  and  $U_-$  denote (local) minimizers of the functionals  $J_+$

and  $J_-$  respectively, which solve

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= f(x)\chi_{\{u>0\}} \\ u &\geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= g^+ \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= -h(x)\chi_{\{u<0\}} \\ u &\leq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= -g^- \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (12)$$

respectively.

## Main Result

**PROPOSITION 1** *Assume that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded domain. The functional  $J_\Omega$  defined by (8) attains its minimum in*

$$S := \{u \in W_g^{1,2}(\Omega) : U_- \leq u \leq U_+\}.$$

The fact that we consider the set  $S$  is because of the following–

**THEOREM 1** *Let  $u$  be a minimizer of the functional  $J_\Omega$  in  $W_g^{1,2}(\Omega)$ . Then, the support of  $u$  must be a subset of  $\text{supp}(U_+) \cup \text{supp}(U_-)$ . In fact,*

$$\text{supp}(u^+) \subseteq \text{supp}(U_+), \tag{13}$$

$$\text{supp}(u^-) \subseteq \text{supp}(U_-) \tag{14}$$

where  $u^+(x) := \max\{u(x), 0\}$  and  $u^-(x) := -\min\{u(x), 0\}$ .

## Proof of the Proposition

First, note that  $S$  is a closed set and that  $J_\Omega$  is lower semi continuous. Moreover, for any  $u \in S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\Omega(u) &= \int_\Omega \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - f(x)u^+ + h(x)u^- \right\} dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_\Omega |f(x)|u^+ dx - \int_\Omega |h(x)|u^- dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - c(f, h) \int_\Omega (u^+ + u^-) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - c(f, h) \int_\Omega |u| dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 - c(f, h)C_1 \|\nabla u\| \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where the last inequality follows from Poincaré inequality. Thus  $J$  is coercive in  $S$ . Hence,  $J$  attains its infimum in  $S$ .

- In case  $\Omega$  is bounded the proof in above proposition goes through even in the set  $W_g^{1,2}(\Omega)$ . Thus in case of bounded domains, Theorem 1 can be considered as a qualitative result which gives a smaller set in which one obtains the minimizer for  $J_\Omega$ . We may thus possibly obtain more than one minimizers in case the minimizers of  $J_+$  or  $J_-$  are not unique.

- Theorem 1 is more crucial in case  $\Omega$  is unbounded, where in general it may be difficult to prove that the functional  $J_\Omega$  is bounded from below. In case one can find conditions so that the minimizers of  $J_+$ ,  $J_-$  have compact support then, in these situations, Theorem 1 implies that the support of minimizer is contained in the union of supports of  $U_+$  and  $U_-$ .

An immediate corollary of above two results is-

**COROLLARY 0.1** *Let the functions  $f, h$  be such that*

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 &f, h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \\
 &\text{supp}(f^+) \text{ and } \text{supp}(h^-) \text{ are nonempty and compact} \\
 &f \leq \text{const.} < 0 \text{ holds outside a compact set} \\
 &h \geq \text{const.} > 0 \text{ holds outside a compact set.}
 \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{16}$$

*Then, the functional*

$$J_{\mathbb{R}^N}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - fu^+ + hu^- \right) dx \tag{17}$$

*has a minimizer in  $S$  (and hence in  $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ).*

## Application: Quadrature domain with two measures

The concept of "two phase version" of quadrature domain is formulated using the pde (rather than using potential theory) as follows:

Given two positive measures  $\mu_{\pm}$  with disjoint, compact supports and non-negative functions  $\lambda_{\pm}$ , we look for a function  $u$  satisfying

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= (\mu_+ - \lambda_+ \chi_{\{u>0\}}) - (\mu_- - \lambda_- \chi_{\{u<0\}}) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \text{supp}\mu_{\pm} &\subset \{\pm u > 0\}. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (18)$$

**Example:** In the special case of (18) when  $\lambda_{\pm} = 1$  and the measures  $\mu_{\pm} = 0$ , it can be verified that the function

$$u(x) = \frac{(x_1^+)^2}{2} - \frac{(x_1^-)^2}{2} \quad (19)$$

where  $x_1^{\pm}(x) := \max(\pm x_1, 0)$  is a global solution to the equation

$$\Delta u = \chi_{\{u>0\}} - \chi_{\{u<0\}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (20)$$

Consider the equation

$$-\Delta u = (\mu_+ - \lambda_+) \chi_{\{u>0\}} - (\mu_- - \lambda_-) \chi_{\{u<0\}} \quad (21)$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  where  $\mu_+, \mu_-$  are positive Radon measures with compact support.

The following theorem was proved in [GS] (Gustafsson B., Shahgholian H., *Existence and geometric properties of solutions of a free boundary problem in potential theory*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 473 (1996), 137-179.)

**THEOREM 2** (*Theorem 4.7 in [GS]*) *Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure which is concentrated to a ball  $B_R = B(x_0, R)$  to the extent that*

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \mu(B_R^c) &= 0 \\ \mu(B_R) &> \left(b + \frac{Nc}{3R}\right) 6^N |B_R|. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (22)$$

Then, for any  $h, g$ , there exists a weak solution  $u$  of

$$\Delta u + (\mu - h)\mathcal{L}^N \llcorner \Omega = g\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner \partial\Omega \quad (23)$$

where  $\Omega = \{u > 0\}$  which satisfies

$$\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \Omega. \quad (24)$$

Moreover, if we define the measure  $\nu := h\mathcal{L}^N \llcorner \Omega + g\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner$

$\partial\Omega$  and denote the Newtonian potential of  $\nu$  by  $U^\nu$  then

$$U^\nu \leq U^\mu \quad (25)$$

$$|\nabla U^\nu| \leq \text{const.} < \infty. \quad (26)$$

In fact, we have  $B_{3R} \subset \Omega$ .

The following lemma was crucial in the proof of the above theorem.

**LEMMA 0.1** (*Lemma 4.6, [GS]*) *Let  $0 \leq \psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a non-increasing, radially symmetric function satisfying  $\int \psi dx = 1$ . Then, for  $\mu$  a positive measure with compact support,*

$$\Omega \in \mathcal{Q}(\mu * \psi; h, g) \implies \Omega \in \mathcal{Q}(\mu; h, g). \quad (27)$$

*Moreover, if  $U^\nu \leq U^{\mu * \psi}$  then  $U^\nu \leq U^\mu$ .*

Here,  $\mathcal{Q}(\mu; h, g)$  denotes the set of quadrature domains for  $\mu$  with given densities  $g$  and  $h$ . Precisely, with notations as in Theorem 2, a set  $O \in \mathcal{Q}(\mu; h, g)$  if  $O$  is a bounded open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that

$$\text{supp}(\mu) \subset O,$$

$$U^\nu = U^\mu \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^N \setminus O \quad \text{where} \quad \nu := h\mathcal{L}^N \llcorner O + g\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner \partial O.$$

Lemma 0.1 essentially says that we can replace a positive measure

with compact support by a suitable mollifier. Then, the weak solution corresponding to the minimization of the functional

$$J_{f,g}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - fu + g^2 \chi_{\{u>0\}} \right) dx \quad (28)$$

with  $f = \mu * \psi - \lambda$  is also a (weak) solution of

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Delta u &= \lambda - \mu \quad \text{in} \quad \{u > 0\} \\ u = 0, \quad |\nabla u| &= g \quad \text{in} \quad \partial\{u > 0\} \\ \text{supp}(\mu) &\subset \{u > 0\}. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (29)$$

In our case, the one phase functionals  $J_+$ ,  $J_-$  defined by (9), (10) respectively are simpler with  $g = 0$ .

**THEOREM 3** *Assume that the measures  $\mu_+$  and  $\mu_-$  are sufficiently concentrated in some balls  $B(x_1, R_1)$  and  $B(x_2, R_2)$  respectively in the sense defined in (22). Then, there exists a (weak) solution to the equation (21).*

**REMARK 0.1** Observe that while for the 1-phase case we have  $\text{supp}(\mu_+) \subset u_1$  and  $\text{supp}(\mu_-) \subset u_2$  (see [GS]), it is not clear from the above proof that  $\text{supp}(\mu_+) \subset \text{supp}(u^+)$  and  $\text{supp}(\mu_-) \subset \text{supp}(u^-)$  where  $u$  is the minimizer of the (corresponding) 2-phase problem.

**Example :** Let  $\lambda_{\pm} = 1$ ,  $\mu^+ := m\chi_{B(e_1, 1/2)}$ ,  $\mu^- := 2\chi_{B(-e_1, 1/2)}$  where  $m$  is a positive integer. By Theorem 2, for  $m = 2$ , both measures give rise to one phase quadrature domains. Hence our theorem implies existence of solution for the two phase problem.

Vary  $m$ !

## A direct proof by approximation:

**THEOREM 4** *Let  $f := \mu - \lambda$  where  $\mu$  is a Radon measure with compact support, say denoted by  $D$  and let  $\varphi_i$  be a sequence of smooth functions with compact support such that  $\varphi_i \rightarrow \mu$  (as measures i.e.,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_i \phi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi d\mu(x)$ ). Let  $u_i$  be the minimizer for the functional*

$$J_i(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - (\varphi_i(x) - \lambda(x)) u^+ dx. \quad (30)$$

*Then there exists a compact set  $K$  such that  $\text{supp}(u_i) \subset K$  for all  $i$ .*

Sketch of proof:

- Assume  $\varphi_i \rightarrow \mu$  and  $\text{supp}(\varphi_i), \text{supp}(\mu) \subset B(0, R_1)$ . Let  $u_i$  be solution to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Delta u_i &= \lambda(x) - \varphi_i(x) & \text{in} & \quad D_i := \{u_i > 0\}, \\ u &= 0, |\nabla u| = 0 & \text{on} & \quad \partial D_i \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (31)$$

Then, for each  $i$ ,  $\text{supp}(u_i) := D_i$  is compact.

- The Newtonian potential of  $\varphi_i$ , defined by  $G_i := G * \varphi_i$  then

$$\Delta G_i = -\varphi_i \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta(u_i - G_i) = \lambda(x) \geq \lambda_0 > 0 \quad \text{in} \quad D_i$$

$$(u_i - G_i) \leq 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial D_i$$

implies by maximum principle that

$$u_i \leq G_i \quad \text{in} \quad D_i. \quad (32)$$

In particular,  $u_i$ 's are bounded by the Newtonian potential  $G^\mu$  of  $\mu$ .

- There exists an  $R_0$  sufficiently large such that  $D_i \subset B(0, R_0)$  for all large  $i$ —if not, choose  $p_i \in \partial D_i$  such that  $p_i \notin B(0, r_i)$  and  $|p_i| \rightarrow \infty$ .

The function

$$w_i(x) := u_i(x) - \lambda_0 \frac{|x - p_i|^2}{2n} \quad \text{in } \Omega_i = D_i \setminus B(0, R_1) \quad (33)$$

satisfies the equation

$$\Delta w_i = \Delta u_i - \lambda_0 = \lambda(x) - \lambda_0 \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_i. \quad (34)$$

Therefore, by the maximum principle,  $w_i$  achieves its maximum on the boundary  $\partial\Omega_i$ , which implies

$$\max_{\partial B(0, R_1)} u_i(x) \geq d(p_i, \partial B(0, R_1)) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty \quad (35)$$

a contradiction!