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## Conservation Laws and FVM

- Basic laws of physics are conservation laws - mass, momentum, energy
- Differential form

$$
\frac{\partial U}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}=0
$$

$U$ - conserved variables
$f, g, h$ - flux vector

- Compressible flows - shocks and other discontinuities
- Classical solution may not exist
- Integral form (using divergence theorem)

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega} U \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} z+\oint_{\partial \Omega}\left(f n_{x}+g n_{y}+h n_{z}\right) \mathrm{d} S=0
$$

Rate of change of U in $\Omega=$ - (Net flux across the boundary of $\Omega$ ) $\Downarrow$
Starting point for finite volume method

- Discontinuities are a consequence of conservation laws
- Rankine-Hugoniot jump conditions $[9,10]$

$$
\left(f n_{x}+g n_{y}+h n_{z}\right)_{R}-\left(f n_{x}+g n_{y}+h n_{z}\right)_{L}=s\left(U_{R}-U_{L}\right)
$$



- Solution satisfying integral form - weak solution
- Definition (Weak solution)

1. Satisfies the differential form in smooth regions
2. Satisfies jump condition across discontinuities

- Hyperbolic conservation laws - non-uniqueness
- Limit of a dissipative model: Navier-Stokes $\rightarrow$ Euler
- Entropy condition - second law of thermodynamics
- Entropy satisfying weak solution - unique (Kruzkov)
- Conservative scheme (FVM) - correct shock location (Warnecke)
- Useful for solving equations with discontinuous coefficients
- FVM can be applied on arbitrary grids - structured and unstructured

- Entropy condition - second law of thermodynamics
- Entropy satisfying weak solution - unique (Kruzkov)
- Conservative scheme (FVM) - correct shock location (Warnecke)
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- Entropy condition - second law of thermodynamics
- Entropy satisfying weak solution - unique (Kruzkov)
- Conservative scheme (FVM) - correct shock location (Warnecke)
- Useful for solving equations with discontinuous coefficients
- FVM can be applied on arbitrary grids - structured and unstructured



## FVM in 1-D

- Divide computational domain $[a, b]$ into $N$ cells

$$
\begin{gathered}
a=x_{1 / 2}<x_{3 / 2}<\ldots<x_{N+1 / 2}=b \\
C_{i}=\left[x_{i-1 / 2}, x_{i+1 / 2}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$



- Conservation law for cell $C_{i}$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{x_{i-1 / 2}}^{x_{i+1 / 2}} U \mathrm{~d} x+f\left(x_{i+1 / 2}, t\right)-f\left(x_{i-1 / 2}, t\right)=0
$$

- Cell average value

$$
U_{i}(t)=\frac{1}{h_{i}} \int_{x_{i-1 / 2}}^{x_{i+1 / 2}} U(x, t) \mathrm{d} x
$$

- Conservation law for cell $C_{i}$

$$
h_{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} U_{i}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+f\left(x_{i+1 / 2}, t\right)-f\left(x_{i-1 / 2}, t\right)=0
$$



- Riemann problem at each interface
- Numerical flux function (Godunov approach)

$$
F_{i+1 / 2}(t)=F\left(U_{i}(t), U_{i+1}(t)\right)
$$

- Semi-discrete update equation (ODE system)

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} U_{i}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-\frac{1}{h_{i}}\left[F_{i+1 / 2}(t)-F_{i-1 / 2}(t)\right]
$$

- Method of lines approach
- Discretize in space
- Integrate the ODE system in time
- Explicit Euler scheme [ $U_{i}^{n} \approx U\left(x_{i}, t^{n}\right)$ ]

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{U_{i}\left(t^{n+1}\right)-U_{i}\left(t^{n}\right)}{\Delta t}=-\frac{1}{h_{i}}\left[F_{i+1 / 2}\left(t^{n}\right)-F_{i-1 / 2}\left(t^{n}\right)\right] \\
\Downarrow \\
U_{i}^{n+1}=U_{i}^{n}-\frac{\Delta t}{h_{i}}\left[F_{i+1 / 2}^{n}-F_{i-1 / 2}^{n}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

- Conservation: Telescopic collapse of fluxes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i} h_{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} U_{i}}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =-\sum_{i}\left[F_{i+1 / 2}(t)-F_{i-1 / 2}(t)\right] \\
& =-[f(b, t)-f(a, t)]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Numerical Flux Function

- Simple averaging

$$
F_{i+1 / 2}=f\left(\left(U_{i}+U_{i+1}\right) / 2\right) \quad \text { or } \quad F_{i+1 / 2}=\left(f_{i}+f_{i+1}\right) / 2
$$

- Equivalent to central differencing

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} U_{i}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\frac{1}{h_{i}}\left(f_{i+1}-f_{i-1}\right)=0 \quad \text { (unstable) }
$$

- Two approaches

1. Central differencing with artificial dissipation [13]

$$
F_{i+1 / 2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{i}+f_{i+1}\right)-d_{i+1 / 2}
$$

2. Upwind flux formula $[9,10,13,20,22]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FVS: } F_{i+1 / 2}=f^{+}\left(U_{i}\right)+f^{-}\left(U_{i+1}\right) \\
& \text { FDS: } F_{i+1 / 2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{i}+f_{i+1}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left[(\Delta f)_{i+1 / 2}^{-}-(\Delta f)_{i+1 / 2}^{+}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- Example: convection-diffusion equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial U}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}=0, \quad f=a U-\nu \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \\
F_{i+1 / 2}=a U_{i+1 / 2}-\left.\nu \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}\right|_{i+1 / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Upwind definition of interfacial state

$$
U_{i+1 / 2}= \begin{cases}U_{i} & \text { if } a \geq 0 \\ U_{i+1} & \text { if } a<0\end{cases}
$$

- Central-difference for viscous term

$$
\left.\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}\right|_{i+1 / 2}=\frac{U_{i+1}-U_{i}}{x_{i+1}-x_{i}}
$$

- Upwind numerical flux

$$
F_{i+1 / 2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a U_{i}+a U_{i+1}\right)-\frac{|a|}{2}\left(U_{i+1}-U_{i}\right)-\nu \frac{U_{i+1}-U_{i}}{x_{i+1}-x_{i}}
$$

## Significance of conservative scheme

- Inviscid Burgers equation

$$
\frac{\partial U}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{U^{2}}{2}\right)=0, \quad f(U)=\frac{U^{2}}{2}
$$

- Rankine-Hugoniot condition

$$
f_{R}-f_{L}=s\left(U_{R}-U_{L}\right) \Longrightarrow s=\frac{1}{2}\left(U_{L}+U_{R}\right)
$$

- Non-conservative form

$$
\frac{\partial U}{\partial t}+U \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}=0
$$

- Upwind scheme (assume $U \geq 0$ )

$$
\frac{U_{i}^{n+1}-U_{i}^{n}}{\Delta t}+U_{i}^{n} \frac{U_{i}^{n}-U_{i-1}^{n}}{h}=0
$$

or

$$
U_{i}^{n+1}=U_{i}^{n}-\frac{\Delta t}{h} U_{i}^{n}\left(U_{i}^{n}-U_{i-1}^{n}\right)
$$

- Initial condition

$$
U(x, 0)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } x<0 \\ 0 & \text { if } x>0\end{cases}
$$

- Numerical solution

$$
U_{i}^{n}=U_{i}^{o} \Longrightarrow \text { stationary shock }
$$

- Exact solution (shock speed $=1 / 2$ )

$$
U(x, t)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } x<t / 2 \\ 0 & \text { if } x>t / 2\end{cases}
$$

- Conservation form from physical considerations

$$
U \frac{\partial U}{\partial t}+U \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{U^{2}}{2}\right)=0
$$

or

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{U^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{U^{3}}{3}\right)=0
$$

- Jump conditions not identical: $s=\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{U_{L}^{2}+U_{L} U_{R}+U_{R}^{2}}{U_{L}+U_{R}}\right)$


## Higher order scheme in 1-D

- Constant-in-cell representation

- First order accurate

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|U_{i}-U\left(x_{i}\right)\right|=O(h) \\
h=\max _{i} h_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Reconstruction - evolution - projection

Higher order scheme in 1-D

- Reconstruct the variation within a cell

- Linear reconstruction

$$
\tilde{U}(x)=U_{i}+s_{i}\left(x-x_{i}\right), \quad x \in\left[x_{i-1 / 2}, x_{i+1 / 2}\right]
$$

- Biased interpolant

$$
U_{i+1 / 2}^{L}=U_{i}+s_{i}\left(x_{i+1 / 2}-x_{i}\right), \quad U_{i+1 / 2}^{R}=U_{i+1}+s_{i+1}\left(x_{i+1 / 2}-x_{i+1}\right)
$$

- Flux for higher order scheme

$$
F_{i+1 / 2}=F\left(U_{i}, U_{i+1}\right)
$$

- Reconstruction variables

1. Conserved variables - conservative
2. Characteristic variables - better upwinding but costly
3. Primitive variables $(\rho, u, p)$ - computationally cheap

- Unsteady flows - reconstruction must preserve conservation

$$
\frac{1}{h_{i}} \int_{C_{i}} \tilde{U}(x) \mathrm{d} x=U_{i}
$$

- Gradients for reconstruction: backward, forward, central difference

$$
s_{i, b}=\frac{U_{i}-U_{i-1}}{x_{i}-x_{i-1}}, \quad s_{i, f}=\frac{U_{i+1}-U_{i}}{x_{i+1}-x_{i}}, \quad s_{i, c}=\frac{U_{i+1}-U_{i-1}}{x_{i+1}-x_{i-1}}
$$

- Flux for higher order scheme

$$
F_{i+1 / 2}=F\left(U_{i+1 / 2}^{L}, U_{i+1 / 2}^{R}\right)
$$

- Reconstruction variables

1. Conserved variables - conservative
2. Characteristic variables - better upwinding but costly
3. Primitive variables ( $\rho, u, p$ ) - computationally cheap

- Unsteady flows - reconstruction must preserve conservation

$$
\frac{1}{h_{i}} \int_{C_{i}} \tilde{U}(x) \mathrm{d} x=U_{i}
$$

- Gradients for reconstruction: backward, forward, central difference

$$
s_{i, b}=\frac{U_{i}-U_{i-1}}{x_{i}-x_{i-1}}, \quad s_{i, f}=\frac{U_{i+1}-U_{i}}{x_{i+1}-x_{i}}, \quad s_{i, c}=\frac{U_{i+1}-U_{i-1}}{x_{i+1}-x_{i-1}}
$$

- Solution with discontinuity

- Central-difference: Non-monotone reconstruction

- Limited gradients $[9,12]$

$$
s_{i}=\operatorname{Limiter}\left(s_{i, b}, s_{i, f}, s_{i, c}\right)
$$

## FVM in 2-D

- Divide computational domain into disjoint polygonal cells, $\Omega=\cup_{i} C_{i}$
- Integral form for cell $C_{i}$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{C_{i}} U \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y+\oint_{\partial C_{i}}\left(f n_{x}+g n_{y}\right) \mathrm{d} S=0
$$

- Cell average value

$$
U_{i}(t)=\frac{1}{\left|C_{i}\right|} \int_{C_{i}} U(x, y, t) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y, \quad\left|C_{i}\right|=\text { area of } C_{i}
$$

- Cell connectivity: $N(i)=\left\{j: C_{j}\right.$ and $C_{i}$ share a common face $\}$


$$
\oint_{\partial C_{i}}\left(f n_{x}+g n_{y}\right) \mathrm{d} S=\sum_{j \in N(i)} \int_{C_{i} \cap C_{j}}\left(f n_{x}+g n_{y}\right) \mathrm{d} S
$$

- Approximate flux integral by quadrature

- Semi-discrete update equation

$$
\left|C_{i}\right| \frac{\mathrm{d} U_{i}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-\sum_{j \in N(i)} F_{i j} \Delta S_{i j}
$$

- Numerical flux function

$$
F_{i j}=F\left(U_{i}, U_{j}, \hat{n}_{i j}\right)
$$

- Properties of flux function

1. Consistency

$$
F(U, U, \hat{n})=f(U) n_{x}+g(U) n_{y}
$$

2. Conservation

$$
F(V, U,-\hat{n})=-F(U, V, \hat{n})
$$

3. Continuity

$$
\left\|F\left(U_{L}, U_{R}, \hat{n}\right)-F(U, U, \hat{n})\right\| \leq C \max \left(\left\|U_{L}-U\right\|,\left\|U_{R}-U\right\|\right)
$$

- Flux functions [10, 13, 20, 22]
- FVS: Steger-Warming, Van Leer, KFVS, AUSM
- FDS: Godunov, Roe, Engquist-Osher
- Integrate in time using a Runge-Kutta scheme [5, 12]


## Grids and Finite Volumes

- Elements in 2-D

- Elements in 3-D

- Boundary layers - prism and hexahedra
- Cell-centered and vertex-centered scheme $[5,18,21]$

- Median (dual) cell
- join centroid to mid-point of sides
- well-defined for any triangulation
- Voronoi cell
- join circum-center to mid-point of sides
- smooth area variation
- not defined for obtuse triangles
- Containment circle tessalation



## Median and containment-circle tessalation



- Stretched triangles - median dual and containment-circle

- Containment-circle finite volume

- Turbulent flow over RAE2822 airfoil: vertex-centered scheme

$$
\text { Mach }=0.729, \alpha=2.31 \mathrm{deg}, \operatorname{Re}=6.5 \text { million }
$$



## Higher order scheme in 2-D

- Bi-linear reconstruction in cell $C_{i}$

- Define left/right states

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{L} & =U_{i}+a_{i}\left(x_{i j}-x_{i}\right)+b_{i}\left(y_{i j}-y_{i}\right) \\
U^{R} & =U_{j}+a_{j}\left(x_{i j}-x_{j}\right)+b_{j}\left(y_{i j}-y_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Flux for higher order scheme

$$
F_{i j}=F\left(U^{L}, U^{R}, \hat{n}_{i j}\right)
$$

- Gradient estimation using

1. Green-Gauss theorem
2. Least squares fitting

- Green-Gauss theorem

$$
\int_{C_{i}} \nabla U \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y=\oint_{\partial C_{i}} U \hat{n} \mathrm{~d} S
$$

- Approximate surface integral by quadrature

$$
\nabla U_{i} \approx \frac{1}{\left|C_{i}\right|} \sum_{\text {face }} \int_{\text {face }} U \hat{n} \mathrm{~d} S
$$

- Face value

$$
U_{\text {face }}=\frac{1}{2}\left(U_{L}+U_{R}\right)
$$

- Non-uniform cells

$$
U_{\text {face }}=\alpha U_{L}+(1-\alpha) U_{R}, \quad \alpha \in(0,1)
$$

- Accuracy can degrade for non-uniform grids $[4,6,8,14]$
- Least-squares reconstruction $[3,5]$


$$
U_{o}+a_{o}\left(x_{j}-x_{o}\right)+b_{o}\left(y_{j}-y_{o}\right)=U_{j}, \quad j=1,2,3,4
$$

- Over-determined system of equations - solve by least-squares fit

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min \sum_{j}\left[U_{j}-U_{o}-a_{o}\left(x_{j}-x_{o}\right)-b_{o}\left(y_{j}-y_{o}\right)\right]^{2}, \quad \text { wrt } a_{o}, b_{o} \\
a_{o}=\sum_{j} \alpha_{j}\left(U_{j}-U_{o}\right), \quad b_{o}=\sum_{j} \beta_{j}\left(U_{j}-U_{o}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

- Limited reconstruction
- Cell-centered: Min-max [3, 5], Venkatakrishnan [5], ENO-type [1, 14]
- Vertex-centered: edge-based limiter [17]
- Min-max limiter

$$
\begin{gathered}
U_{\min } \leq U_{o}+a_{o}\left(x_{j}-x_{o}\right)+b_{o}\left(y_{j}-y_{o}\right) \leq U_{\max }, \quad j=1,2,3,4 \\
\left(a_{o}, b_{o}\right) \longleftarrow\left(\phi a_{o}, \phi b_{o}\right), \quad \phi \in[0,1]
\end{gathered}
$$

- Very dissipative - smeared shocks
- Performance degrades on coarse grids
- Stalled convergence - limit cycle
- Useful for flows with large discontinuities
- Venkatakrishnan limiter
- Smooth modification of min-max limiter
- Better control - depends on cell size
- Better convergence properties
- Vertex-centered cell: Edge-based limiter

- Using vertex-gradients

$$
U^{L}=U_{i}+\frac{1}{2} \text { Limiter }\left[\left(U_{i+1}-U_{i}\right),\left(\vec{P}_{i+1}-\vec{P}_{i}\right) \cdot \nabla U_{i}\right]
$$

- Van-albada limiter

$$
\operatorname{Limiter}(a, b)=\frac{\left(a^{2}+\epsilon\right) b+\left(b^{2}+\epsilon\right) a}{a^{2}+b^{2}+2 \epsilon}, \quad \epsilon \ll 1
$$

Higher order flux quadrature


- Quadratic reconstruction in cell $C_{i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{U}(x, y)=\tilde{U}_{i} & +a_{i}\left(x-x_{i}\right)+b_{i}\left(y-y_{i}\right) \\
& +c_{i}\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2}+d_{i}\left(x-x_{i}\right)\left(y-y_{i}\right)+e_{i}\left(y-y_{i}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- 2-point Gauss quadrature for flux

$$
F_{i j}=\omega_{1} F\left(U_{1}^{L}, U_{1}^{R}, \hat{n}_{i j}\right)+\omega_{2} F\left(U_{2}^{L}, U_{2}^{R}, \hat{n}_{i j}\right)
$$

## Discretization of viscous flux

- Viscous terms

$$
\nabla \cdot \mu \nabla u
$$

- Finite volume discretization

$$
\int_{C_{i}}(\nabla \cdot \mu \nabla u) \mathrm{d} V=\oint_{\partial C_{i}}(\mu \nabla u \cdot \hat{n}) \mathrm{d} S
$$

- Simple averaging

$$
\nabla u_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla u_{i}+\nabla u_{j}\right)
$$

- Odd-even decoupling on quadrilateral/hexahedral cells
- Large stencil size
- 1-D case: $u_{t}=u_{x x}$

$$
u_{i}^{n+1}=u_{i}^{n}+\frac{\Delta t}{2 h}\left(u_{i-2}^{n}-2 u_{i}^{n}+u_{i+2}^{n}\right)
$$

- Correction for decoupling problem [5]
- Green-Gauss theorem for auxiliary volume

- Least-squares gradients
- Quadratic reconstruction: gradients and hessian [3]
- Face-centered least-squares
- Vertex-centered scheme
- Galerkin approximation on triangles/tetrahedra
- Nearest neighbour stencil


## Turbulence models

- Reynolds-average Navier-Stokes equations - need turbulence models
- Differential equation based models: $k-\epsilon, k-\omega$, Spalart-Allmaras
- Turbulence quantities must remain positive
- Discretize using first order upwind finite volume method Example: Spalart-Allmaras model

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{C_{i}} \nabla \cdot(\tilde{\nu} u) \mathrm{d} V & \approx \sum_{j \in N(i)}\left[\left(u_{i j} \cdot \hat{n}_{i j}\right)^{+} \tilde{\nu}_{i}+\left(u_{i j} \cdot \hat{n}_{i j}\right)^{-} \tilde{\nu}_{j}\right] \Delta S_{i j} \\
(\cdot)^{ \pm}= & \frac{(\cdot) \pm|(\cdot)|}{2}, \quad u_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{i}+u_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Coupled or de-coupled approach
- Stiffness problem - positivity preserving implicit methods


## Boundary conditions

- Cell-centered approach


## 1. Ghost cell

2. Flux boundary condition


- Inviscid flow (slip flow - zero normal velocity)

$$
\rho_{g}=\rho_{w}, \quad p_{g}=p_{w}, \quad u_{g}=u_{w}, \quad v_{g}=-v_{w}
$$

- Viscous flow (noslip flow - zero velocity)

$$
\rho_{g}=\rho_{w}, \quad p_{g}=p_{w}, \quad u_{g}=-u_{w}, \quad v_{g}=-v_{w}
$$

- Boundary flux depends on pressure only

$$
F\left(U_{w}, U_{g}, \hat{n}\right)=\text { function of } p \text { only }
$$

- Flux boundary condition

$$
(\vec{F} \cdot \hat{n})_{\text {wall }}=p\left[0, n_{x}, n_{y}, 0\right]^{\top}
$$

1. Extrapolate pressure from interior cells
2. Solve normal momentum equation [2]

- Vertex-centered approach - flux boundary condition
- Boundary cell in vertex-centered scheme



## Accuracy and Stability

- FVM with linear reconstruction - second order accurate on uniform and smooth grids
- On non-uniform grids $\Longrightarrow$ formally first order accurate
- Local truncation error not a good indicator of global error [22]
- $r$ 'th order reconstruction and $n_{g}$ Gaussian points for flux quadrature - accuracy is $\min \left(r, 2 n_{g}\right)$ [19]
- Semi-discrete scheme

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} U_{i}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\sum_{j \in N(i)} a_{i j}\left(U_{j}-U_{i}\right), \quad a_{i j} \geq 0
$$

- Local Extremum Diminishing (LED) property - maxima do not increase and minima do not decrease (Jameson)
- If $U_{i}$ is a local maximum $\Longrightarrow U_{j}-U_{i} \leq 0$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} U_{i}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\sum_{j \in N(i)} a_{i j}\left(U_{j}-U_{i}\right) \leq 0 \Longrightarrow U_{i} \text { does not increase }
$$

- Fully discrete scheme

$$
U_{i}^{n+1}=\left(1-\Delta t \sum_{j} a_{i j}\right) U_{i}^{n}+\sum_{j} a_{i j} U_{j}^{n}, \quad \Delta t \leq \frac{1}{\sum_{j} a_{i j}}
$$

- Convex linear combination

$$
\min _{j \in N(i)} U_{j}^{n} \leq U_{i}^{n+1} \leq \max _{j \in N(i)} U_{j}^{n}
$$

- Prevents oscillations (Gibbs phenomenon) near discontinuities
- Stable in maximum norm

$$
\min _{j} U_{j}^{n} \leq U_{i}^{n+1} \leq \max _{j} U_{j}^{n}
$$

- Elliptic equations - discrete maximum principle

$$
\min _{j \in \partial \Omega} U_{j} \leq U_{i} \leq \max _{j \in \partial \Omega} U_{j}
$$

## Data structures and Programming

- Data structure for FVM
- Coordinates of vertices
- Indices of vertices forming each cell
- Cell-based updating

```
for cell = 1 to Ncell
    FluxDiv = 0
    for face = 1 to Nface(cell)
                cellNeighbour = CellNeighbour(cell, face)
                flux = NumFlux(cell, cellNeighbour)
                FluxDiv += flux
    end
    Unew(cell) = Uold(cell) - dt*FluxDiv
end
```

- Face-based updating

```
FluxDiv(:) = 0
for face = 1 to Nface
    LeftCell = FaceCell(face,1)
    RightCell = FaceCell(face,2)
    flux = NumFlux(LeftCell, RightCell)
    FluxDiv(LeftCell) += flux
    FluxDiv(RightCell) -= flux
end
Unew(:) = Uold(:) - dt*FluxDiv(:)
```

- Flux computations reduced by half - speed-up of two
- Other geometric quantities - cell centroids, face areas, face normals, face centroids
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